



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

09/851,105         05/09/2001         Tatsuya Fukunishi         R2184.0100/P100           24998         7590         10/13/2004         EXAMINE           DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP         GELIN, JEAN A           2101 L STREET NW         ART UNIT           WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526         ART UNIT	CONFIRMATION NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FILING DATE	APPLICATION NO.
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L STREET NW	2323	R2184.0100/P100	Tatsuya Fukunishi	05/09/2001	09/851,105
2101 L STREET NW	IER	EXAMI		590 10/13/2004	24998 75
ADTIBUTE	ALLAND	GELIN, JEAN			
	PAPER NUMBER	ART UNIT			-101 2 511035
2681		2681		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/851,105	FUKUNISHI, TATSUYA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean A Gelin	2681
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) I they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	•
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claims.
-3. ☐ -Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):- <u>-</u>	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: 4,5,10,11,16 and 17.		×
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 6-9, 12-15, 18</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10. Other: Jee attached		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/851,105

Art Unit: 2681

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to the Applicant's amendments and arguments filed on August 06, 2004 in which claims 4, 10, and 16 have been amended. Claims 1-18 are currently pending. Claims 19 and 20 have not been entered.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 8/6/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Nakanishi fails to disclose the claimed invention as recited in claim 1. More specifically, Nakanishi never discloses, teaches, or suggests turning off a first cutoff switch... if the first load current is greater than a predetermined current, and Nakanishi cannot detect and does not teach detecting if the first load current is greater than a predetermined current.

However, the Examiner believes that Nakanishi discloses the claimed invention. Nakanishi teaches disconnecting one of the batteries when detecting the battery is being discharged or turning off the switch when the amount of charge reaches a predetermined threshold. This teaching of Nakanishi corresponds to the Applicant's claimed invention. Therefore, the final rejection is maintained.

The Applicant argues that claims 2, 3, and 6 depend from claim 1; claims 7-9, and 12 contain limitations that are similar to claim 1. Therefore, claims 2, 3, 6-9, and 12 are allowable. Given that claim 1 is rejected for the same reason recited in the previous Office Action based on the argument recited, claims 2, 3, 6-9, and 12 are rejected for the same reason recited in the previous Office Action mailed on 12/03/03.

Art Unit: 2681

Claim 13 contains a new negative limitation, which changes the scope of the claim. The Examiner requires further search and/or consideration. All claims depend on claim 13 are rejected for the same reason recited in the previous Office Action mailed on 12/03/03.

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean A Gelin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4847. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JEAN GELIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

JGelin October 9, 2004

Jean Heland Gelin